

The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was not written for publication in a law journal and is not binding precedent of the Board.


UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte BARKUR G. BHAT,
SEKHAR S. BODDUPALLI,
GANESH M. KISHORE,
JINGDONG LIU,
SHAUKAT H. RANGWALA, and
MYLAVARAPU VENKATRAMESH

Appeal No. 2004-2136
Application 09/267,199

ORDER DISMISSING APPEAL



Before FLEMING, Chief Administrative Patent Judge,
HARKCOM, Vice Chief Administrative Patent Judge,
ADAMS, Administrative Patent Judge.

Per curiam.




On September 16, 2003, appellants filed a Notice of Appeal. On January 17 2006, appellants filed a communication requesting that this application be "expressly abandoned under 37 C.F.R. §1.138(a) upon entry of the Amendment filed January 6, 2006." Appellants also noted that a continuation application was filed on January 11, 2006.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the appeal filed September 16, 2003, is dismissed.

Appeal No. 2004-2136
Application 09/267,199

The application is being returned to the examiner for further action as may be appropriate.

	}	BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES
Michael R. Fleming, Chief Administrative Patent Judge		
		
Gary V. Harkoom, Vice Chief Administrative Patent Judge		
	}	
Donald E. Adams Administrative Patent Judge		

David R. Marsh
Arnold & Porter LLP
Attn: IP Docketing Dept.
555 Twelfth Street, NW
Washington, DC 20004-1206

dem